

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/827,099	04/19/2004	Stephen J. Dodd	5660-01901/EBM	1460		
35690	7590 03/21/2006		EXAM	INER		
MEYERTONS, HOOD, KIVLIN, KOWERT & GOETZEL, P.C.			BARRERA,	BARRERA, RAMON M		
700 LAVACA, SUITE 800 AUSTIN, TX 78701			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		

2832
DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	14
_	11

Office Action Summary		Apı	olication No.	Applicant(s)				
		10	/827,099	DODD, STEPHEN J	i			
		Exa	miner	Art Unit				
		Rar	mon M. Barrera	2832				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .						
		2b)⊠ This actio	on is non-final.					
′—		•		secution as to the m	nerits is			
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	on of Claims	•						
_								
•	4) Claim(s) 1-12,15-17,20 and 45-49 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· -	Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7-12,15,17,20,45,46,</u>	48 and 49 is/are	rejected					
·	Claim(s) <u>2,6,16 and 47</u> is/are object		o rejected.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restric		ction requirement					
		and/or orox	alon roquiromoni.					
Applicati	on Papers							
-	The specification is objected to by th							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any obje		Ŧ · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
۵٫۱	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents hav	re heen received					
	2. Certified copies of the priority			on No				
	·				age			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
and attached detailed office action for a list of the contined copies not received.								
• • • •	4.3							
Attachment(s) A) M Netice of References Cited (DTO 200)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/16/05</u> . 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/827,099 Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5, 7-12, 15, 20, 45, 46, 48, and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Petropoulos, et al..

Petropoulos, beginning in col. 5, line 36, discloses both a coil and the method of designing the coil comprising selecting an open geometry for the coil; selecting a region of interest for a field produced by the coil; defining a current density distribution for the coil; and numerically optimizing the current density distribution to produce a field of selected characteristics in the region of interest, specifically by numerically optimizing amplitudes (Lagrange multipliers) of a plurality of sinusoidal functions and employing a stream function technique (col.6, line 56). With regards to wherein the coil is configurable for use in transcranial magnetic stimulation, it has been held that the recitation that an element is capable of, i.e., configurable for performing a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Application/Control Number: 10/827,099 Page 3

Art Unit: 2832

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Petropoulos.

Petropoulos did not disclose wherein numerically optimizing the current density comprises simulated annealing. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a simulated annealing technique since the examiner takes Official Notice of the equivalence of simulated annealing and Lagrange optimization for their use in the art and the selection of any of these known numerical optimization techniques would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 2, 6, 16 and 47 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: None of the prior art of record taught or reasonably suggested wherein the selected geometry comprises a substantially half cylindrical shell.

Art Unit: 2832

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramon M. Barrera whose telephone number is (571) 272-1987. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 11 to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kamon M Barrera
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2832

rmb